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Chief Complaint: Decreasing vision after laser-assisted in-situ keratomileusis (LASIK)

History of Present Illness: This 56 year-old woman presented status post bilateral LASIK for myopia at an outside institution. She reported that after she underwent LASIK, the vision in her left eye was great and had remained good. She felt that the vision in her right eye initially was decent, but never as good as the left eye. She underwent an enhancement in her right eye approximately one year after her initial surgery. She felt that the vision did not improve significantly. Over the past three years, the vision in the right eye had become progressively more blurred, and could not be improved despite multiple changes to her eyeglasses prescription.

Past Medical History: unremarkable

Past Surgical History: Microkeratome LASIK of both eyes (OU) in 2001, enhancement OD 2002

 Examination:

Visual Acuity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Right Eye: (OD)</th>
<th>Left Eye: (OS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20/200 uncorrected</td>
<td>20/25 uncorrected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20/70 with -7.00 + 6.00 x 163</td>
<td>20/20 with -0.50 sphere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20/30 with scleral contact lens</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Intraocular Pressure: 14 mm Hg OD and 15 mm Hg OS

Pupils: Symmetric at 4 mm, briskly reactive, no relative afferent pupillary defect

Confrontation Visual fields: full bilaterally
### Anterior Segment:

#### Right Eye
- Irregular corneal contour with inferior thinning, faint LASIK scar
- Trace nuclear sclerosis

#### Left Eye
- Faint LASIK scar, contour appears normal
- Trace nuclear sclerosis

---

**Figure 1**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Right Eye</th>
<th>Left Eye</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Marked inferior steepening resembling keratoconus</td>
<td>Mild irregular astigmatism, with inferior corneal steepening that may be consistent with forme-fruste keratoconus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automated keratometry: 60.59 D x 43.95 D</td>
<td>Automated keratometry: 42.00 D x 41.25 D</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 2. Nidek Corneal Topography**
Estimates of residual stromal bed thickness; centrally, approximately 260 micrometers. The posterior 250 micrometers of cornea was not violated in this particular case (red line).

**Figure 3.** Anterior Segment OCT, Right eye

**Clinical Course:**

Unfortunately, preoperative topographies and surgical records were not available. Nonetheless, her right cornea had developed a very abnormally shaped, ectatic appearance. This patient could attain improved visual acuity with a scleral contact lens; however, the contact lens was not tolerable for more than a few hours per day. Given the severity of the ectasia and corneal topography findings, Intacs was not indicated. Specular microscopy was performed to determine endothelial cell density and was found to be 2746 cells/mm² in the right eye. The options presented to the patient were full thickness penetrating keratoplasty (PKP) and deep anterior lamellar keratoplasty (DALK). [Javadi et al 2010, Shimazaki et al. 2002] Given the adequate endothelial cell density, the decision to undergo DALK was made.

DALK surgery was performed using the “big bubble” technique as described by Anwar.[Anwar et al. 2002a, 2002b] Her surgery was uncomplicated. She developed steroid induced ocular hypertension that necessitated a switch of topical steroid formulation as well as transient treatment with topical ocular anti-hypertensives. Her pressure remained controlled on the adjusted steroid regimen and there was no evidence of glaucomatous damage. The initial selective suture removal was performed six months post-operatively, and the process continued until her corneal astigmatism had been sufficiently reduced. One year after DALK, her uncorrected visual acuity was remarkably good, at 20/25.
**Figure 4.** Slitlamp photograph of DALK one year post-surgery. Note clarity is excellent and a moderate amount of sutures are still present.

**Figure 5.** A comparison of preoperative and postoperative corneal topography shows the benefit of DALK. Normal prolate corneal morphology has been restored.

Operative video of big bubble technique DALK. May be viewed on the EyeRounds online version of this article. [http://www.EyeRounds.org/cases/158-post-LASIK-ectasia.htm](http://www.EyeRounds.org/cases/158-post-LASIK-ectasia.htm)
Discussion:

Post-refractive surgery ectasia is a loss of corneal integrity leading to corneal warpage that often resembles keratoconus. It is more likely to occur following LASIK, radial keratotomy (RK), or astigmatic keratotomy (AK) surgery. These types of refractive surgeries are more likely to cause ectasia because of how they disrupt the cornea. LASIK creates a partial thickness flap into the corneal stroma; this separation of the flap from the residual bed alters the structural integrity of the cornea. RK and AK involve incisions that extend a moderate depth into the corneal stroma and thus alter the shape and refractive power of the cornea; it is the depth of the incisions that changes the strength of the cornea. While these are the proposed mechanisms, it is important to note that the exact etiology of ectasis is not completely understood. This discussion focuses on post-LASIK ectasia.

The exact incidence of post-LASIK ectasia is unknown. With older screening technologies, ectasia occurred in an estimated 1 in 2500 cases. Newer screening technologies have reduced incidence to roughly 1 in 4-5000 cases.[Randelman et al. 2008a] Randelman and colleagues have published extensively on this topic and their proposed risk factors and grading scale are important to assess prior to LASIK surgery.

Ectasia is a very serious complication of refractive surgery. In 2005, a committee comprised of the International Society of Cataract and Refractive Surgery and the American Academy of Ophthalmology convened to review this condition following a multi-million dollar legal judgment in favor of a young man who developed ectasia after LASIK. The goal of the committee was to outline known risk factors for the development of the condition. An important statement that was released after the meeting was, “Ectasia is a known risk of laser vision correction and when the complication arises, it does not necessarily mean that the patient was a poor candidate for the surgery, that the surgery was contraindicated, or that there has been a violation of the standard of care.” This statement should not deter the surgeon from exhibiting the utmost caution in screening candidates for refractive surgery, but does establish ectasia as a known risk of the surgery. In the authors’ experience, post-LASIK ectasia typically is a result of abnormal corneal morphology that has been overlooked prior to the surgery or when the residual stromal bed thickness has fallen below the recommended residual bed thickness.


- **Major Risk factors**
  - Abnormal topography:
    - Keratoconus (KCN)
    - Forme fruste keratoconus
    - Pellucid marginal degeneration
  - Residual stromal bed thickness:
    - No magic number but most surgeons consider 250 or 300 microns as the minimum
      - Note: many eyes do fine below these levels and eyes have developed ectasia above these levels
    - Measure the stromal bed after the flap is cut
- Minor risk factors:
  - Younger patients (who may be predisposed to KCN or forme fruste keratoconus but have not yet had time to develop them)
  - Asymmetry
  - Enhancements
  - Myopia

- Treatment is the same as keratoconus
  - Rigid contact lenses
  - Intacs
  - Keratoplasty: DALK or PKP
  - Collagen cross-linking
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